political culture, and so forth. But there is an even more serious objecaccount of the marked national differences—from differences between in the bourgeois public sphere; that it is not complex enough to take ahistorically and does not take into consideration the structural change media discussed above.34 tion, an objection in principle, that can be derived from the dualism of broadcasting agencies, to differences in programming, viewing practices, private, public-legal, and state-controlled organizational structures of

and through keeping messages available for manifold contexts. ent network of communication contents far removed in space and time emerge, through establishing the abstract simultaneity of a virtually presspatiotemporally restricted contexts and permit public spheres to nication. They free communication processes from the provinciality of ground. The mass media belong to these generalized forms of commuremains dependent on recourse to the resources of the lifeworld backwith a specialization of linguistic processes of consensus formation that ing to an agreement or failing to do so. In the other case we are dealing language altogether and neutralize it in regard to the alternative of commedia uncouple the coordination of action from building consensus in merely condense it, and thus remain tied to lifeworld contexts. Steering tiated out of the lifeworld; on the other hand, generalized forms of communication, which do not replace reaching agreement in language but (risky and demanding) coordinating mechanism of reaching understand ing: on the one hand, steering media, via which subsystems are differen I distinguished two sorts of media that can ease the burden of the

sponses to criticizable validity claims. Abstracted and clustered though only in the first instance that they relieve interaction from yes/no re tualize and concentrate processes of reaching understanding, but it is cation structures themselves. Mass media can simultaneously contexthere is a counterweight of emancipatory potential built into communi-But tapping this authoritarian potential is always precarious because to below—they considerably strengthen the efficacy of social controls centralized network-from the center to the periphery or from above possibility of opposition by responsible actors. they are, these communications cannot be reliably shielded from the Insofar as mass media one-sidedly channel communication flows in a be separated from the other—and therein lies their ambivalent potential tions on the horizon of possible communication. The one aspect cannot These media publics hierarchize and at the same time remove restric-

practice,35 it confirms this ambivalence. Again and again reception rener and allows for dimensions of reification in communicative everyday search and program analysis have provided illustrations of the theses in When communications research is not abridged in an empiricist man-

> out the contradictions resulting from the facts that statement. In the meantime, the same energy has been put into working culture criticism that Adorno, above all, developed with a certain over-

- cal, professional and aesthetic viewpoints;36 are not able to smoothly integrate economic, political and ideologithe broadcasting networks are exposed to competing interests; they
- normally the mass media cannot, without generating conflict, avoid and the professional code of journalism;37 the obligations that accrue to them from their journalistic mission
- the programs do not only, or even for the most part, reflect the stanculture as popular revenge";39 ular entertainment, they may contain critical messages-"popular dards of mass culture;38 even when they take the trivial forms of pop-
- ideological messages miss their audience because the intended ceived against a certain subcultural background;40 meaning is turned into its opposite under conditions of being re
- the inner logic of everyday communicative practice sets up defenses
- the technical development of electronic media does not necessarily against the direct manipulative intervention of the mass media;<sup>41</sup> and more than anarchist visions. 42 pluralism" and "television democracy" are at the moment not much move in the direction of centralizing networks, even though "video
- sphere in which processes of reification do not appear as mere reflexes that the theory of communicative action conceives of the lifeworld as a which agrees with the critique of instrumental reason only in its intenas a point of departure, is based on a critique of functionalist reason, contradictions. The fact that in welfare-state mass democracies class conworld pathologies calls for an (unbiased) investigation of tendencies and that might be distilled from a few global concepts. The analysis of lifeof a damaged intersubjectivity are by no means predecided processes tion to media-steered interactions and the deformation of the structures dent communication structures. The transposition of communicative acrationalized lifeworld in which system imperatives clash with indepenand mass culture show the private and public spheres in the light of a lifeworld and my remarks on the ambivalent potentials of mass media references to the socializatory relevance of the uncoupling of system and ical theory merely repeated the errors of Marxist functionalism. 43 My listic economy and an authoritarian state. In this respect, the earlier critas manifestations of a repressive integration emanating from an oligopotion and in its ironic use of the word 'reason'. One major difference is the lifeworld, for which Weber's theory of societal rationalization served (d) Potentials for protest. My thesis concerning the colonization of

would expect them to emerge if the thesis of the colonization of the protest emerge now along different lines of conflict-just where we protest potential has been altogether laid to rest. But the potentials for flict has been institutionalized and thereby pacified does not mean that lifeworld were correct.

can provide, but of defending and restoring endangered ways of life. In underlying deficits reflect a reification of communicatively structured doinstitutional—or at least extraparliamentary—forms of protest; and the sations. Rather, these new conflicts arise in domains of cultural reproducparties and associations; and they can no longer be allayed by compenof institutionalized conflict over distribution. They no longer flare up in questions having to do with the grammar of forms of life. short, the new conflicts are not ignited by distribution problems but by The issue is not primarily one of compensations that the welfare state mains of action that will not respond to the media of money and power tion, social integration, and socialization; they are carried out in subdomains of material reproduction; they are no longer channeled through ern societies that deviate in various ways from the welfare-state pattern In the past decade or two, conflicts have developed in advanced West-

politics finds stronger support in the new middle classes, among the by employers, workers, and middle-class tradesmen, whereas the new equal rights, individual self-realization, participation, and human rights to a "new politics." 45 The new problems have to do with quality of life, questions of economic and social security, internal and military security) confirm the change in themes from the "old politics" (which turns on tions.44 Studies by Hildebrandt and Dalton, and by Barnes and Kaase, phenomena tally with my thesis regarding internal colonization. younger generation, and in groups with more formal education. These In terms of social statistics, the "old politics" is more strongly supported values and attitudes that R. Inglehart has observed in entire popula-This new type of conflict is an expression of the "silent revolution" in

have been more strongly sensitized to the self-destructive consequences gether. Among the latter are those groups that are further removed from periphery composed of a variegated array of groups that are lumped toas the basis of the welfare-state compromise, and, on the other hand, a in the production process and interested in maintaining capitalist growth between, on the one hand, a center composed of strata directly involved expect old conflicts to be overlaid with new ones. A line of conflict forms complex sets off processes of erosion in the lifeworld, then we would of the growth in complexity or have been more strongly affected by the "productivist core of performance" in late capitalist societies, 46 that If we take the view that the growth of the economic-administrative

> romantic movements of the early industrial period, which were supstruggles of the organized labor movement can serve as a model for this dervögel, and the like. bourgeois critiques of civilization) undertaken by reformers, the Wanof the populist middle class, in the escapist movements (nourished by protest. Historical parallels are more likely to be found in the social of growth. Neither the bourgeois emancipation movements nor the them.<sup>47</sup> The bond that unites these heterogeneous groups is the critique ported by craftsmen, plebians, and workers, in the defensive movements

flict); single-issue and local movements; the alternative movement catchphrases serve at the moment to identify the various currents in the scenes, groupings, and topics change very rapidly. To the extent that or and also religious independence. are the autonomy movements struggling for regional, linguistic, cultural school protest by parents' associations, resistance to "modernist" reand youth sects; religious fundamentalism; the tax-protest movement gays, handicapped, and so forth); the psychoscene, with support groups projects, as well as the rural communes); the minorities (the elderly (which encompasses the urban "scene," with its squatters and alternative ments; the peace movement (including the theme of north-south con-Federal Republic of Germany: the antinuclear and environmental movemembers are recruited from the same diffuse reservoir.<sup>48</sup> The following ganizational nuclei are formed at the level of parties or associations forms; and, finally, the women's movement. Of international significance The current potentials for protest are very difficult to classify, because

nects feminism with these movements; the emancipation of women conquering new territory. There is an element of particularism that conaction for the sake of communicatively structured domains, and not at withdrawal movements aim at stemming formally organized domains of other movements have a more defensive character. The resistance and law gives feminism the impetus of an offensive movement, whereas the anchored in the acknowledged universalistic foundations of morality and chal oppression and for the redemption of a promise that has long been of bourgeois-socialist liberation movements. The struggle against patriarblack subcultures—only the feminist movement stands in the tradition movement—which has since issued in a particularistic self-affirmation of tentials for resistance and withdrawal. After the American civil rights which women were subjected in the bourgeois nuclear family has given Furthermore, the historical legacy of the sexual division of labor to lege, but overturning concrete forms of life marked by male monopolies. means not only establishing formal equality and eliminating male privi-In this spectrum I will differentiate emancipatory potentials from po-

everyday practice. them access to contrasting virtues, to a register of values complementary to those of the male world and opposed to a one-sidedly rationalized

stood, to begin with, as reactions to certain problem situations that are of resistance to tendencies toward a colonization of the lifeworld, as I which a critique of growth sparked by themes of ecology and peace is conflict potential, on the other: youth and alternative movements for of the movements for autonomy, on the one side, from the core of a new after the movement in support of Proposition 13 in California), and most ents against comprehensive schools, the protest against taxes (patterned sible to demarcate the protest of the traditional middle classes against new ways of cooperating and living together. This criterion makes it posperceived with great sensitivity. tudes, and ways of acting prevalent in youth protest groups can be under hope now to indicate, at least in a cursory way.<sup>49</sup> The objectives, attithe common focus. It is possible to conceive of these conflicts in terms threats to neighborhoods by large technical projects, the protest of parthat already operates on the basis of a rationalized lifeworld and tries out defense of traditional and social rank (based on property) and a defense Within resistance movements we can distinguish further between the

flexible limits to the deprivation of sensual-aesthetic background needs. lifeworld and make us drastically aware of standards of livability, of inis, developments that noticeably affect the organic foundations of the the ravages of civilization, pharmaceutical side effects, and the like—that ments, industrialization, and pollution; the impairment of health through ronment; the despoliation of the countryside through housing developsets off the protest is rather the tangible destruction of the urban envibe globally planned and implemented by administrative means. What at first and call for technical and economic solutions, which must in turn veloped societies with major problems; but these challenges are abstract sources, as well as demographic developments present industrially delogical balances, the growing scarcity of nonrenewable natural re-"Green" problems. The intervention of large-scale industry into eco-

possible consequences of processes for which we are morally account the lifeworld and at the same time burst its dimensions. The anxieties prehensible only from the perspective of the system. These risks invade terror of a new category of risks that are literally invisible and are comdata, and the like. These real anxieties are combined, however, with the waste, genetic engineering, the storage and central utilization of private to fear military potentials for destruction, nuclear power plants, atomic able—since we do set them in motion technically and politically—and function as catalysts for a feeling of being overwhelmed in view of the Problems of excessive complexity. There are certainly good reasons

> highly differentiated lifeworlds, centered as these are around the senses. beyond the spatial, temporal, and social limits of complexity of even against abstractions that are forced upon the lifeworld, although they go scale has put them beyond our control. Here resistance is directed yet for which we can no longer take moral responsibility-since their

of social integration, also belongs in this context. means by which they are implemented run counter to the declared aims to reformist interventions that turn into their opposite, because the expression and communication that have been buried alive. Resistance spheres—all this is meant to foster the revitaliztion of possibilities for ular, the natural, the provincial, of social spaces that are small enough to ities, of segmented pubs, simple interactions and dedifferentiated public be familiar, of decentralized forms of commerce and despecialized activsearch for personal and collective identity. The revaluation of the particto establish subculturally protected communities supportive of the and religious affilitation serve to build up and separate off communities, characteristics such as gender, age, skin color, neighborhood or locality sidedly rationalized practice of everyday life. For this reason, ascriptive manifestations of deprivation in a culturally impoverished and onemost alternative projects and many citizens' action groups—the painful and renewed religious fundamentalism is also a motivating force behind expressed rather blatantly in the manifestations of the psychomovement Overburdening the communicative infrastructure. Something that is

school. It also takes aim at the monetarization of services, relationships, sures of competition and performance all the way down into elementary social policy and health policy (e.g., in connection with psychiatric care) along the lines of self-help organizations. It is above all in the domains of agencies is to be opened up and reorganized in a participatory mode, personal life-styles. Furthermore, the relation of clients to public service and time, at the consumerist redefinition of private spheres of life and dependent mobilization of labor power, against the extension of pressumers, citizens and clients of the state. It is just these roles that are the power, and how it is institutionalized in the roles of employees and conpublic spheres, on the one hand, and the economic and administrative pursuing interests in a purposive rational manner—forms ranging from protest negate the definitions of the role of citizen and the routines for that models of reform point in this direction. Finally, certain forms of dependent instrumentalization of work in one's vocation, the markettargets of protest. Alternative practice is directed against the profitaction systems, on the other takes place via the media of money and world. Earlier I described how the interchange between the private and the undirected explosion of disturbances by youth ("Zurich is burn-The new conflicts arise along the seams between system and life

tern of the American civil rights movement and student protests), to ing!"), through calculated or surrealistic violations of rules (after the patviolent provocation and intimidation.

longer oriented to profit and, on the other hand, to oppose to the party dedifferentiate some parts of the formally organized domains of action, alone take shape. By contrast, the counterinstitutions are intended to system-those spheres in which personal and collective identities can and voting subjects; and they keep at a distance—as environments of the spheres; they treat their employees and voters as abstract labor power their points of social entry via labor markets and manufactured public media-steered interaction. The capitalist enterprise and the mass party in modern societies labor and political will-formation have been tied to tions would reverse just those abstractions and neutralizations by which expressive and at the same time has a democratic base.50 Such institusystem new forms of a "politics in the first person," a politics that is vert out of the economic system a second, informal sector that is no action systems. These institutions are supposed, on the one hand, to diits to the inner dynamics of the economic and political-administrative terinstitutions that develop from within the lifeworld in order to set limclients and citizens of the state, is supposed to clear the way for counpartial disintegration of the social roles of employees and consumers, of "liberated areas" to the action-coordinating mechanism of reaching remove them from the clutches of the steering media, and return these (as an "ideology-neutral organization for acquiring power") generalize According to the programmatic conceptions of some theoreticians, a

of cultural modernity is rashly equated with the functionalist rationality logical imputations of their opponents, if the communicative rationality scured, both in the self-understanding of those involved and in the ideopolemical significance of the new resistance and withdrawal movements real political oppositions-between the antimodernism of the Young guished from the increasing complexity of the social system. This conis, whenever the rationalization of the lifeworld is not carefully distinof self-maintaining economic and administrative action systems—that reacting to the colonization of the lifeworld. This significance is obits perspectives on the future.53 Conservatives<sup>51</sup> and the neoconservative defense of postmodernity<sup>52</sup> fusion explains the fronts-which are out of place and obscure the that robs a modernity at variance with itself of its rational content and However unrealistic these ideas may be, they are important for the

action that clarifies the normative foundations of a critical theory of so-C—In this work I have tried to introduce a theory of communicative

> taken up the task of working on a theory of rationality. sciences can enter into a cooperative relation with a philosophy that has again expects from philosophy that it take on systematic tasks. The social grained in the use of language oriented to reaching understanding, it critique of ideology. With the concept of a communicative reason inand of philosophical thought, in an indirect way, that is, by way of a no longer ascertain the normative contents of bourgeois culture, of art of what Marx called "real abstraction" has to do with the social-scientific tasks of a theory of modernity, not the philosophical. Social theory need two additional themes (e) and (f) are a reminder that the investigation talist modernization can be taken up once again. The illustrative obserrelied, but which is no longer tenable. It is intended as a framework native to the philosophy of history on which earlier critical theory still ciety. The theory of communicative action is meant to provide an alter vations (a) through (a') were meant to make this claim plausible. The within which interdisciplinary research on the selective pattern of capi-

of work and of what is merely useful. structures of everyday life. Subjectivity frees itself here from the convensubjectivity that is decentered and removed from the spatiotemporal tions of daily perception and of purposive activity, from the imperatives toward an ever purer expression of the basic aesthetic experiences of a Good is only the Just. And an art that has become autonomous pushes deontological, universalizable aspects, so that what remains from the ethics separates off problems of the good life and concentrates on strictly do without an interpretation of nature and history as a whole. Cognitive More and more the sciences dropped the elements of worldviews and different aspects of rationality—as questions of truth, justice, or taste. how to divide up and develop further the cultural tradition under these out help from philosophy. Even without the guidance of the critiques of with positive law and principled secular ethics, with autonomous art and pure and practical reason, the sons and daughters of modernity learned institutionalized art criticism, three moments of reason crystallized withthen discovered and described as value spheres. With modern science, riod culture gave rise of itself to those structures of rationality that Weber philosophical grounding as science. As we have seen, in the modern pephysics of Newton and his heirs: modern culture is as little in need of a It is no different with modern culture as a whole than it was with the

preserve its unity, and (ii) how expert cultures can be mediated with whether a reason that has objectively split up into its moments can still the character of this knowledge. Two questions must be answered: (i) scendental grounding, but they do call for a self-understanding regarding dernity, need no foundation and no justification in the sense of a tran-These magnificent "one-sidednesses," which are the signature of mo-